1	TOBACCO REGION REVITALIZATION COMMISSION
2	701 East Franklin Street, Suite 501
3	Richmond, Virginia 23219
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	<b>Executive Committee Meeting</b>
9	Wednesday, October 9, 2019
10	1:00 o'clock p.m.
11	
12	
13	
14	General Francis Marion Hotel
15	107 East Main Street
16	Marion, Virginia
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## 1 APPEARANCES:

- The Honorable Terry G. Kilgore, Chairman
- The Honorable Frank M. Ruff, Vice-Chairman
- 4 The Honorable Kathy J. Byron
- 5 The Honorable Charles W. Carrico, Sr.
- 6 The Honorable A. Benton Chafin, Jr.
- 7 The Honorable James W. (Will) Morefield
- 8 The Honorable Edward Owens
- 9 The Honorable Thomas C. Wright, Jr.

10

## 11 <u>COMMISSION STAFF</u>:

- Mr. Evan Feinman, Executive Director
- 13 Mr. Andy Sorrell, Deputy Executive Director
- Mr. Timothy S. Pfohl, Grants Director
- Ms. Sarah K. Capps, Grants Program Administrator
- Southside Virginia
- Ms. Sara G. Williams, Grants Program Administrator
- 18 Southwest Virginia
- Ms. Jessica Stamper, Grants Assistant
- 20 Southwest Virginia
- 21 Ms. Michele Faircloth, Grants Assistant
- 22 Southside Virginia
- Ms. Stephanie Kim, Finance Director
- Ms. Joyce Knight, Administrative Supervisor

2	Ms. Elizabeth B. Myers, Assistant Attorney General
3	Richmond, Virginia 23219
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	
23	
24	

**COUNSEL FOR THE COMMISSION:** 

1

1	October 9,	, 2019
2		
3		DELEGATE KILGORE: Good afternoon, we'll all get
4	started.	
5		Evan, if you'd call roll.
6		MR. FEINMAN: Yes, sir. Delegate Kilgore.
7		DELEGATE KILGORE: Here.
8		MR. FEINMAN: Senator Ruff.
9		SENATOR RUFF: Here.
10		MR. FEINMAN: Secretary Ball.
11		SECRETARY BALL: (No response).
12		MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Byron.
13		DELEGATE BYRON: Here.
14		MR. FEINMAN: Senator Carrico.
15		SENATOR CARRICO: Here.
16		MR. FEINMAN: Senator Chafin.
17		SENATOR CHAFIN: Here.
18		MR. FEINMAN: Secretary Layne.
19		SECRETARY LAYNE: (No response).
20		MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Marshall.
21		DELEGATE MARSHALL: (No response).
22		MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Morefield.
23		DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Here.
24		MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Owens.
25		MR. OWENS: Here.

1	MR. FEINMAN: Senator Stanley.
2	SENATOR STANLEY: (No response).
3	MR. FEINMAN: Delegate Wright.
4	DELEGATE WRIGHT: Here.
5	MR. FEINMAN: You have a quorum, Mr. Chairman.
6	DELEGATE KILGORE: I'd ask everybody to speak up
7	so people in the back can hear. I also think we have some folks
8	that might be calling in.
9	So, Danny, are you on the phone here?
10	Brian Ball?
11	Bill Stanley?
12	Is anyone on the phone? Well, some members may
13	be calling in. You all know Danny Marshall had a business
14	conflict, and Julie Hensley has a family emergency. And Joel
15	Cunningham has a business conflict. And Brian Ball has a
16	business conflict. And William Stanley has a business conflict.
17	MR. SHELTON: Mr. Chairman, Robert Mills called me,
18	they had a family death, so he wanted me to express his regrets,
19	but I'd like for us to remember Bill.
20	DELEGATE KILGORE: Thank you, we appreciate that.
21	And we want to remember Robert in our prayers as we move
22	forward today.
23	Now, do I have a motion we approve our minutes of
24	April 16 <sup>th</sup> , 2019? All right, it's been moved and seconded. All in
25	favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No response). That motion

carries. 1 So, at this time, I'm going to call on Kevin Schmidt 2 from VDACS, Director, Policy Planning and Research at VDACS. 3 He's going to give us a presentation on something that a lot of us 4 are interested in, and it's something that would be great for us in 5 Southwest Virginia and Southside. I'm trying to build up this 6 presentation. 7 MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you very much. 8 MR. EVANS: Mr. Feinman, just to frame the 9 presentation a little better. For your information, we're getting a 10 lot of requests, and that's why we're here on this subject before 11 the Executive Committee. 12 MR. SCHMIDT: Mr. Chairman and members of the 13 Committee, I'm Kevin Schmidt, I'm Director of the Office of 14 Policy Planning and Research of the Virginia Department of 15 Agriculture. This presentation is to help you understand that. 16 MS. KIM: I think we've got it up on the screen now. 17 MR. SCHMIDT: I want to give you a little overview of 18 the process for this presentation. You all should have the 19 handout on the presentation. We're going to talk about industrial 20 hemp and a little bit about the legislative history and all that 21 brings us to where we are today. This has been fast moving, 22 particularly in the last year or so. I want to talk a little bit about 23 the frequently asked questions that we're getting from growers 24

and processors at VDACS and talk about some of the issues that

I know are on your mind.

We're talking about industrial hemp. I do want to say that I might use some terms interchangeably in this presentation. If I say industrial hemp, that's not saying we're going to use the terms interchangeably.

So, let's start with the plant. Industrial hemp, and how is that different than marijuana, what are we talking about? We're talking about the cannabis sativa. The difference is really a legal. Anything that has .03 percent or THC, which is the substance in marijuana. That .03 percent by federal law and Virginia law, that's the definition of industrial hemp. Anything over that, with that same plant, is again legally considered marijuana if it's over that. There are some different varieties, but basically we're talking about the same plant with different THC levels. There's more than 100 plants, but THC is the big one, and the other one you're hearing quite a bit about is the cannabis. Two of the 100 or so, the cannabis plant. Let's go to the third slide.

On the industrial hemp side, the variety for industrial hemp, you have different varieties. On the industrial hemp side, the growers for hemp, and you have many varieties generally on the grain and plants can be grown with either the stock or the seed, but they can be used for a number of products. Some plants are grown for the stalk or seed, which can be used for a number of products. It can be used in a variety of ways.

On the marijuana side, if it has a certain percentage of
THC and really are grown specifically for the THC concentration,
recreational or medical, and grown with the flowery tops. On the
marijuana side, it can be grown for the THC concentration,
recreational or medical, as I previously said. We're talking about
the flowery top.

When you see this in the field, we are generally

When you see this in the field, we are generally talking about visual differences. When you think about bamboo, it grows tall, tightly packed, not a lot of space between the stalks. On the right-hand side, you'll see there that's grown for CBD, and you can see there is a bushy, more air, more light, and more space. Visually it looks a little different.

As you've heard, there's a lot of interest in this. What we're talking about and where it comes from, I'm not a plant person, but I can assure you it comes from the flowering top of the plant, and it has a little sticky residue and you can knock off that resin, and this shows the flowery top. Next slide.

I'm now going to give you an overview of what's happening in the Federal level and State level. Some of the General Assembly members are here who crafted these bills. I'll give you a summary of what they said.

At the Federal level, 32014, we would get questions about industrial hemp. As far as the Federal issue, there wasn't much we could do about it. The 2014 Farm Bill, all of a sudden it was brought to our attention this little provision in Section 7606

- of the Farm Bill. It cranked that door open and allowed states
- that would be interested in an opportunity to establish for
- research purposes a pilot program if certain conditions were met.
- The 2014 Farm Bill, 7606, legitimacy of industrial hemp research.
- 5 The Farm Bill defined industrial hemp. Any part of the plant
- 6 where growing or not couldn't have a concentration of more than
- 7 .03 percent. So, that's where we get that Federal definition
- 8 about industrial hemp and that .03 percent.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The language in the Farm Bill basically says that these programs for research purposes if you meet the criteria. The criteria was that the research would be conducted through the State Department of Agriculture or an institution of higher education. And that's the first part.

The second part is that the state had to have regulations for the state to be allowed to do this, or something to allow the state to do this pilot program. If you met those things, you could go ahead and start doing it under the Federal Farm Bill, this pilot program.

In 2015, the General Assembly took up that opportunity and there was growing interest to see the pilot program in Virginia. In 2015, the General Assembly basically put provisions in the law and things that had to be done in order for the State to do the research program. So, you can see the program created in Virginia, or the Research Program.

The General Assembly established in Virginia that this

was going to be overseen by the Commissioner and directly managed by. So, we had, since that law was passed, four public institutions committed to doing this research. Virginia Tech was involved, Virginia State University, James Madison University, and the second year UVA was added, as far as the research work. Basically, this program was set up and set up an opportunity for licenses to be awarded to individuals that wanted to grow industrial hemp. And if you had the material in your possession, by Federal law, you had to have one of these licenses to make sure you were allowed to have that material, even though .03 percent, or actually even below .03 percent had to have that permission for possession of marijuana. That's how the program worked in 2014. 

There's been some tweaks to it and in the 2018 General Assembly session, and these changes took effect July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018. Those changes were to add another option and that there were a few individual growers that were looking for, that the University was looking to work with, and they wanted to partner with them and they had capacity to, and a large number of growers. The University was getting a lot of requests from growers that wanted to try for research purposes. The University said that they could only use a few people, they couldn't meet that demand.

So, the General Assembly in 2018 established another opportunity for VDACS and a research director and the grower.

We also removed the license requirement and a registration, and 1 that was like \$50, and added the process for registration. So, 2 that's the 2018 changes at the State level. 3 Remember this all started in 2014 with the Farm Bill. 4 The next Farm Bill was signed by the President in December of 5 2018. Given all the interest at the research level and the 6 previous four years across the country, the new Farm Bill really 7 created a path forward for commercialization across the country. 8 The change in some of the language at the Federal level to open 9 up that opportunity and move away from research into full 10 commercialization, and that's what you see in the 2018 Farm Bill. 11 And now they're talking about industrial hemp and the definition 12 at the Federal level. It also, in addition to setting up the 13 definition of hemp that now includes all the derivatives and the 14 extracts from that plant. 15 It also now takes off of the Federal Control Substance 16 Act, industrial hemp. Now, if you look at the definition of 17

It also now takes off of the Federal Control Substance Act, industrial hemp. Now, if you look at the definition of marijuana at the Federal level, a clear cut-out that takes out industrial hemp, at the Federal level, not a controlled substance.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What it does do at the Federal level is sets up the process for the regulation of industrial hemp across the country. If the State wants to have that primary regulatory authority at the State level, then there are certain conditions the State has to meet, demonstrate it to the USDA. If they are not able to do that, then there's going to be a default plan where the

farmers can grow directly through the Federal requirement from USDA.

What the USDA says for whatever path you go through, the plan going forward has to have seven different components and you have to show how you're going to figure out the growing life and where, what's your process going to be for having that THC concentration. You have that .03 percent definition, but how are you going to figure out where something has one percent or something has 22 percent THC. If you find something that is over .3 percent, how are you going to dispose of that, and that includes what the State plan would have to include.

How are you going to enforce the provisions of Federal and State law? How is this going to work, is it going to be and/or or random, how are you going to figure that out? Then you also had to show how are you going to provide the required information to go to the Secretary and the U.S. Attorney General? They also want that information to be available for law enforcement. They're going to have to have some kind of database to have all this information in it, and you have to figure out how you're going to convey yourself into that statewide deal.

For States, you also have to demonstrate that you have the financial ability at the State level to do all the things you just told them you wanted to do when you submitted a plan. You have to demonstrate financial capability, as well as meeting

all the requirements. Those plans are required by the Farm Bill 1 to be submitted by the State Department of Agriculture in 2 consultation with the Governor and the Chief Law Enforcement 3 Officer of the State. There's been a lot of interest of States 4 getting those plans in and the Farm Bill was signed December 5 20<sup>th</sup>. Some had their plan on the 21<sup>st</sup> or 22<sup>nd</sup>. 6 Our friends in Kentucky, I believe, had it signed on 7 the 21<sup>st</sup> or the 22<sup>nd</sup>, December. The USDA said they're not in a 8 position to review and approve plans until they go through the 9 regulatory process without those regulations or how they're 10 going to review the plans. 11 Everybody is looking for this to be in place for the 12 2020 growing season We'll hear any day now at the Federal 13 level for these regulations to come out and we'll certainly be 14 reviewing them as soon as the regulations are published. 15 So, that's December, 2018, but a few months ago, 16 they significantly changed at the Federal level. That timing 17 worked out December of 2018 before the 2019 General 18 Assembly, and there was interest in the General Assembly to 19 take those provisions and requirements that were allowed and 20 authorized at the Federal level and State law to mirror those 21 changes. 22 So, you see here, Delegate Marshall and Senator Ruff 23 wrote that piece of legislation in the 2019 Assembly to build 24

basically the Virginia law to mirror the Federal Farm Bill.

A few of the provisions that were in the State law, and there was interest in seeing Virginia get to commercial production as quickly as possible. Those bills did include an emergency clause, which means that unlike all the other State legislation that takes effect on July 1<sup>st</sup> of the coming year, these pieces of legislation would be in effect as soon as they were signed by the Governor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The changes eliminate the two research programs that I mentioned before. The one done by VDACS and the Public Institution Research Track basically clears a requirement that it has to be for research purposes and remain that way. Retaining the Grower Registration that I mentioned and retaining the Virginia Process Registration. It also created a third registration, and that was the Dealer Registration. My understanding is that that dealer concept is pretty familiar and somebody who would buy something from the grower and then sell it to a processor, and there's a third registration now for industrial hemp dealers that's added to this new regulation and provide authority to the Commissioner to enter into a corrective action plan to address negligence or accidental violations of the law. This is something that mirrors what was in the Farm Bill and talks about negligence and mental culpability. We want to provide authority for the Commissioner so that we're ready to go and that the language is clear.

For you all, and I realize on this last point, the original

legislation in 2015 did allow to receive funds from Tobacco
Indemnification. In 2018, that language was changed to allow
processors, and in 2019, that language was included to allow
dealers, growers, processors, or dealers are allowed by statute to
receive funds without that connection. Next slide.

The changes in Virginia with the industrial hemp law and were changes outside of that law. There were changes in both the criminal code and the Virginia Drug Control Act, and I, excuse me, I've gotten ahead of myself. This slide, this is our current law, and there are some provisions in there that were included in the bill and signed into law that do not take effect until such time that the State submits a plan to the USDA and the USDA Secretary approves the plan. Those provisions are basically that the Commission would be authorized to destroy anything that's over .6 percent, really giving a window of .3 to .6 percent of THC, and allowing any grower or processor or dealer that has a test that comes back between .6 and one percent and can request that it be resampled. Those provisions are included in there because of the issue of the .3 percent, is a fine line.

The feeling was that they did not want to include that at the State level in the code, only to have USDA reject our plan because of what's on the books. So, that language is on the books, but it only gets triggered if we submit a plan and the USDA Secretary approves the provisions. Next slide, please.

This is outside of the Industrial Hemp Law and Drug

Control Act and the Criminal Code. Basically, there is an
exclusion in here from marijuana and if you take the exclusion
from marijuana and somebody possessing industrial hemp, if
they're registered as a dealer or grower or processor or engaged
as a dealer, grower, or processor. So, the criminal code for
marijuana, somebody in possession of industrial hemp in Virginia
if they are registered. It also provides and it's clear from the

definition of marijuana in those places a hemp product.

A hemp product is by definition otherwise lawful industrial hemp otherwise lawful industrial hemp .3 percent THC and got to be derived from industrial hemp grown by a registered grower and processor for a dealer in Virginia and then that product is excluded from the definition of marijuana at the State level. And next slide.

There's a lot of interest in CBD oil and there was a lot of confusion prior to this General Assembly about what the Code said about CBD oil. CBD oil can be generated from industrial hemp and might be the same thing you're hearing about the pharmaceutical process and from the Board of Pharmacy but a different program. The law is pretty clear. It's hard to, and there is a definition in the pharmacy laws and carves out that way in which something that is derived from industrial hemp, it's below 50 percent, I'm not sure percentage, below 50 percent. That's no longer considered to be correct, but there are two distinct programs in terms of the State law.

Lastly, there are a few enacted clauses, and there was 1 some interest kind of outside this issue about expanding this. 2 There is a report that's required by November 1<sup>st</sup> to the 3 Secretary and the Secretary of Forestry and Secretary of Health 4 and Human Resources and looking at what standards, if any, 5 should there be for an oil that contains and the extracts from it. 6 There's also two other reports that VDACS and that's got to be 7 done by December 1<sup>st</sup>, and looking at what the market viability is 8 of, and the economic potential of industrial hemp in Virginia, and 9 looking at the cost by VDACS to ramp up this program from 10 where we were July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018 to where we are now. Can you get 11 by on a registration for \$50 and one of the things that the 12 General Assembly will have to determine that, the financial costs. 13 So, that's where we are. 14 So, I mentioned this was an emergency enactment 15 passed by the General Assembly both bills on March 21st, and the 16 17

So, I mentioned this was an emergency enactment passed by the General Assembly both bills on March 21<sup>st</sup>, and the law took effect that day. So, we've been scrambling to enact this. Some of you know about the number and you probably got a number of calls about this. March 21<sup>st</sup>, it's changed, and we wanted to get people in place for that growing season, 2019. April 24, VDACS had worked on the registration process, revised the grower process and revised the processor's process and put out the dealer process and put out guidelines about how the registration process works and all on our website. We started issuing registration right after that.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The next slide here shows where we are today. Now, let me tell you that July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2018. We had four universities, and we probably had 11,135 acres and a handful of individual growers growing under that program as of July 1<sup>st</sup>. October 4<sup>th</sup>, 1,100 registered industrial growers, 227 processors, 94 dealers. When people register, they give us an identification what they're planning on doing or an estimate of what they're planning on doing that year. Right now, 11,000 acres were rejected, 10 million square feet of indoor growth, 11,000 acres outdoor growing for the growing season. We do require that before you actually plan to do this within 14 days tell us what you planted, and if you don't plant by July 31<sup>st</sup>, we ask you to send it back and say nothing.

Based on what we've received to date, we know of that 11,000, but that's what we know of, there still might be some being planted. That 11,000 acres roughly July, but now it's probably closer to about 10,000 acres. But visually you can see the darker, the darker color, 251 to 500 acres, and that's spread out, you can see on the slide, at least based on what we know now. The next slide.

Some frequently asked questions we get at VDACS, if I don't get the application in, I'm looking at a window, I can't do it this year, but there's still an application deadline. We have a backlog, but it's getting way better. When we started, what we're saying that 45 days turnaround, and we're chipping away

at that. So, a \$50 application fee and there's no acreage minimum and no acreage maximum. You can grow all you want and located anywhere, anywhere you want. Next slide.

On the THC testing, we're thinking about the distinction, marijuana being a legal one, being based on whether or not you're below or above the THC threshold. THC testing is a very important piece. The law allows VDACS to conduct THC testing. VDACS does not require that there be a pre-harvest testing, but we do ask that you be selective and samples and there's no transfer until after you get your test results back. We do this randomly and have used this model in other states to determine how we select fields that we're going to sample. There's no testing fee for the initial test. I'll just say that in certain cases we are allowing growers in at their expense if they want to have a retest done, but not a resample, but a retest, and they do that at their own expense. Next slide.

They must submit a plant report, a location of what they planted and what variety 14 days after they plant. They might stagger it, do one field and then another field. If they don't have anything, then we want to know about it, and we want to have this by July 1<sup>st</sup> of which fields are not going to be used. If a field is selected, they'll be contacted by the Office of Plant Services. Those are the folks that do all that, nurseries and inspections and that sort of thing. And there are sampling fees to do what they do.

We have a sampling protocol based on some fields have different characteristics of how they do this and this has to be included with the sample. Again, if the sample is over .3 percent THC, we don't have that special provision by law that would allow us to destroy the field. Can't do it without .6. So we are required to, but the one thing to remember is that if it is over .3 percent but is below .6 percent or like if they have 2.9, right on the edge of this and they have to do a little work. They can ask for a retest and a sample can be run through again and we'll charge a fee for that.

Next slide. I want to touch briefly on, some of you may have already heard about this at the Federal level, it's very clear that the USDA language about what, and the Farm Bill has very clear language about the USDA concerning the 4-H programs, and it's very clear that at the Federal level, the Food and Drug Administration, nothing changed after the Farm Bill went into effect about how the FDA handles programs like this under the Federal Food and Drug Health Act.

Those requirements are still in play. If it's food, at the state level, VDACS also receives Virginia Food and Drink Law. We have a role in the State for protection and we mirror very closely the language at the Federal level. When thinking about food, it's important to kind of understand the context of this and at the Federal level and VDACS. Food is basically anything that is intended for human consumption and ingested for. You might

not think of water as food, but it's something that is consumed 1 and it's VDACS regulated and water in Virginia. And there's 2 corresponding Federal language on that, and we have to comply 3 with Federal law, too. So, food, anything you ingest orally, that's 4 human consumption. And then when you consider at the Federal 5 and State level that any substance added to food needs to be a 6 food additive coming from an approved source. Approved food 7 additive, and that's the basis we work under at the State level 8 and Federal. Next slide. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's an approved food additive and there is an approval process, and I can't explain from USDA, but you have to go through a food approval process and it has to be approved at the Federal level. There's also a process where they have generally recognized or it's a safe designation, and they determine that certain things when used in certain ways generally are safe for human designation and basically an approved food additive. There are certain parts of industrial hemp plants that meet that basic requirement. You may see it a grocery store or a health food store, like a protein for a smoothie, and those are generally recognized. The same with hemp seed oil, coming from an approved source, have inspections, and there are certain requirements and inspected by a, that meets certain requirements or inspected by the VDACS or another similar regulating entity at the State level in Virginia or another source. Food additive. So, again, food additive or

resource. Next slide.

When you're thinking about particularly extracts and this oil, there's two things to think about. Two tracks when you're talking about food and food additives. If you're going to end up with a food that you're going to add an oil, if you want to add CBD oil to that. And if you're going to add CBD oil itself that's a finished product. Those basically require some level of inspection. If you look at the top side and you go to the plant and those can be food additives at that point. If you're going to put that CBD extract into a formula and add it to food, oil could be a food extract, and ultimately you're going to add that food extract to the food, your finished product. If you wanted to sell the CBD oil itself, if it's intended for human consumption and the oil redacted, it's a food, and the extract itself would be a food additive and the finished product would be food. And that would be the oil. Next slide.

This is Virginia. A number of states are in the same place right now. Farm Bill came in December and everybody is getting excited.

UNIDENTIFIED: Do they both need to be as far as the food is concerned?

MR. SCHMIDT: All right, let's say you had a smoothie and you wanted to get it through, you're now talking about a food to which oil is added, the oil would need to be accepted in the food and inspected, of course. And different than, well, let's

say the finished product was just, that says this is CBD oil --1 UNIDENTIFIED: Number two. 2 MR. SCHMIDT: Yes. Put a drop on your tongue and 3 that would give you your --4 UNIDENTIFIED: And you're talking here about what? 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Here, I'm talking about or I should 6 have said that if you say you're at a Starbucks, did that help at 7 all? 8 UNIDENTIFIED: Yes. 9 MR. SCHMIDT: All right, here's where we are. A lot 10 of acreage and FDA has said at this point in time it is unlawful to 11 enter into interstate commerce where the food product that if the 12 CBD has been added or intended to be added or with interstate 13 commerce under existing Federal law. In Virginia, we adopted a 14 clause, and it's incorporated into law. 15 On the other hand, we know that these products are, 16 that there's a lot of interest and we're getting calls that say I'm 17 buying the stuff, how do I deal with it? So, what you see here is 18 in May, I'm sorry, in July, VDACS was directed by the 19 administration in the timeframe while USDA evaluated this at the 20 Federal level, and basically VDACS was directed to treat hemp 21 derived extracts intended for human consumption as an 22 approved food additive and then registered industrial hemp 23 processors, particular food inspection, and that's where we are 24 right now. This is similar to a number of other states who are 25

trying to grapple with this gray area that's out there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the Federal level, FDA has held hearings and they're getting a lot of pressure from Congress to address this issue. They are trying to figure it out. So, what does it mean right now in Virginia? What we're telling processors is that during the process to be registered as an approved food processor, an approved food ingredient, a food additive, good manufacturing practice and a requirement that if you are a food, and there's a lot of requirements at the Federal level, but if you're talking about a dietary supplement that's a little bit different and there's Federal requirements you need to be inspected under, and extracts have to be grown and in compliance with the industrial hemp law. Extracts always .03 percent THC, and then there are certain standards that are required demonstrated have no micro-toxin, micro-biological residuals. The standards right now are some that the Board of Pharmacy has put through their pharmacy-process regulations. Next slide.

For processors that are interested, we have all this information up on our website, and there are stand-alone applications where you can submit and you can always schedule an inspection. There is a CD concerning inspection and they'll be glad to come out there and inspect your facility. Next slide.

So, this is kind of temporary, but at the same time, one of the things we have been directed to do under the law,

1	2019, to have a discussion about what standards would be
2	appropriate, and that according to the General Assembly, and we
3	held some public meetings for that in Richmond in September,
4	and talking about many things like labeling requirements, how do
5	you treat somebody that is inspected under when it comes into
6	Virginia. We will be summarizing all of that in that report in the
7	General Assembly. If you have any questions, my colleagues are
8	here, but we'll all be glad to answer any questions you have.
9	DELEGATE KILGORE: On processing this, you're going
10	to get a lot of questions about growers and things like that, and
11	maybe in a need for a bigger facility, not all these little
12	processors, you could generate a lot of traffic.
13	MR. SCHMIDT: Absolutely. There's a need for
14	processing. A lot of interest by a lot of folks who are interested
15	in growing, and just alone in 2019 where ultimately all of this will
16	take place. The processing is crucial.
17	I also should have mentioned that when we started
18	three years ago, or two or three years ago, everybody was
19	talking about fiber, talking about grain, but everybody talks
20	about it right now.
21	DELEGATE KILGORE: I understood there's supposed
22	to be like a window of opportunity for Virginia farmers, but that
23	opportunity seems to be short. What does VDACS say about that
24	window of opportunity?
25	MR. SCHMIDT: That's a great question. Right now,

1	there's so many unknowns, I don't know how to answer that
2	exactly. This year right now we're growing and there's still a
3	gray area and a lot of issues that need answers, and particularly
4	without USDA laying out that Federal regulatory framework.
5	Everything I just told you could be very different today if USDA
6	puts out regulations that require different things that I just
7	mentioned to you. The landscape has shifted a lot in the last ten
8	months, and I think it's going to continue to change in terms of
9	what the requirements are and what the markets are. Folks
10	have talked about, and there's going to be a lot of CBD material
11	and that market may have already changed.
12	DELEGATE KILGORE: I don't want to dominate the
13	conversation, but it looks to me like getting a processor, get
14	some processors and making sure that they are financially stable
15	in order to run that facility.
16	MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, of course.
17	SENATOR RUFF: If you have a field and one is three
18	and one is two nine, do you assume or do you recognize that?
19	MR. SCHMIDT: In your field, those five samples, are
20	they of the same variety, or are they five different?
21	SENATOR RUFF: I assume the same variety.
22	MR. SCHMIDT: What we do is that when somebody
23	registers, they will register for one or more and they'll register
24	how many do you have. In the methodology, we'll look at what
25	the declared production field is and what the variety is. If you've

1	got five acres and you're growing one variety, if you're one
2	sample for that field, and if that comes back hot, then the
3	instructions would be on the entire five acres.
4	If you had a production field that is listed as five one-
5	acre-production fields, each with the same variety, but they were
6	broken up into different production fields, maybe you planted one
7	this week and planted one three months ago and one two
8	months ago, we would do the sample taking in the production
9	field. And if one came back hot, then the production field would
10	be sampled as one-acre production field separately. If one goes
11	hot, that field would be required to be destroyed and the others
12	came back as not hot.
13	SENATOR RUFF: You have no way of knowing that
14	from
15	MR. SCHMIDT: We don't. We take all of our samples
16	to the Division of Consolidated Lab Services, it takes maybe a
17	few weeks to get them back.
18	SENATOR RUFF: But those fields being planted this
19	year
20	MR. SCHMIDT: Yes, we're not sampling every acre or
21	every production.
22	SENATOR RUFF: Is there something we should be
23	looking at if we want this product to be a, should we test the
24	standards on that?

25

MR. SCHMIDT: Well, certainly if you intended or if it's

something that's going to be used ultimately for human consumption, then all those different tests are certainly going to be something that are important, and that's the rationale, or even if they're temporary requirements, those issues are trying to be addressed, as well, right now, and they've been looking at that in November. If it's something that's not going to be

approved or for some other opportunity.

SENATOR RUFF: But at this time, if it's not going to be approved for fiber or some other speaking of heavy metals -- but at this point, everybody is focused on the same goal?

MR. SCHMIDT: I can't speak for the processors, but my understanding is that there are many processors that will make it a point to tell that we have done third party, especially with these kind of materials. We will inspect those that we are aware of, if there's any complaint, we'll be glad to go out and inspect those. We're not aware of everything, of course.

SENATOR CARRICO: This is kind of grown in Virginia. You have companies that drug test their employees and those employees sometimes come back with a positive THC level in blood, not to mention people on probation. The question that comes to my mind is who is going to say this is legal, like for the THC, and that's a myth. Up to 1.3 percent. Once that becomes and once you are instructed on what all that's going to entail, the future of this industry is going to get hard, and a lot of people that work various places and they're on probation have the

random drug testing. I just think it's going to be an issue. 1 MR. SCHMIDT: We've heard that issue brought up 2 and others from the military. It's not something that falls under 3 the purview of, we're on the lower side and registration for the 4 crop. I really don't know what state entity would be the one 5 overseeing all that, but as far as the percentage, you wouldn't 6 want it different on the label, but that's something that has to be 7 worked out. 8 SENATOR CARRICO: With all that in mind and your 9 oversight of this, and the question is, has there been a 10 conversation with the lab asking what's the, anything that's .3 11 percent. The first thing is that the THC .3 percent or below is no 12 effect, and that .3 percent, but if that's in the conversation and in 13 the report, that THC that's in the system, it's over with. 14 MR. SCHMIDT: I don't know what that does in the 15 blood test, I just don't know. But for us, they report everything 16 and we get the report back what one percent would show, but 17 we'll end up getting the percentage of THC of the samples, but as 18 far as the law enforcement issue, that's a little different. 19 DELEGATE MOREFIELD: Has VDACS made a study of 20 the yield per acre? 21 MR. SCHMIDT: We have not. The only studies we've 22 been involved with, but when we did the research program, we 23

had the four universities, and they were required to submit to us

the research information that we then put into the report, the

24

General Assembly. I think they said that kind of information that 1 you're talking about, and that report is due December 1<sup>st</sup> and 2 kind of looking at the market opportunities in Virginia. It's going 3 to be based on data that we can collect at this point in time. It's 4 something that's new, but we're trying to still figure all that out. 5 MR. SHELL: From the farmer's perspective, do you 6 have any information as far as the research or information on the 7 growing, for the growers? 8 MR. SCHMIDT: I do not. If you're talking about 9 growing for or if you're planning to grow, it certainly depends on 10 what you're growing for or if you have a contract or don't have a 11 contract. I don't believe that on the CBD side and the cost of 12 putting a plant in the ground, maybe 20,000, it's a huge 13 investment that you're talking about putting in, but I really don't 14 know all those details. You hear a lot of anecdotes about money 15 that's out there, but I think in the next few months we'll see at 16 least some information more pertinent to it. 17 MR. FEINMAN: There's many numbers floating 18 around. It's not a survey as such, but people are talking about 19 at least \$10,000, \$15,000, maybe the sum of fifteen, twenty 20 thousand an acre. 21 DELEGATE WRIGHT: There's a lot of excitement 22 about the possibility of what this might do for the farmer and 23 contracts, like tobacco for the farmer, and they have found ways 24

to plant, and the discussion I heard is why would I have to take

this to North Carolina as far as processing? I really haven't 1 heard much about processing and where these areas would be. 2 They grow something and they know they can't get it processed, 3 and it just makes it harder. I just think we need more 4 information on that end of it. You can be very satisfied selling 5 this if you can just get it processed. 6 MR. SCHMIDT: There's no doubt about that, that's 7 something that's got to be looked at and worked out. The 8 production speaks for itself what can be produced in Virginia. In 9 terms of where and how to process it and in thinking about it and 10 evaluating it, certainly a lot has to go into that. 11 MR. KILGORE: Well, that's important, and I think we 12 need to look into that and do all that, we've got to find a way to 13 capitalize on these opportunities that are available, and we need 14 at least a couple of these facilities in Virginia so we don't have to 15 travel so far. 16 DELEGATE WRIGHT: We can't all go to North 17 Carolina. 18 MR. SHELTON: There's a multitude of factors that can 19 cause variances and environmental factors that can cause 20 variances. I do know that in the '18 Farm Bill there's got to be 21 processors. But if the product has to be destroyed, is there any 22

don't have an answer, a complete answer for you, I just don't

MR. SCHMIDT: That's an excellent question, and I

way that the loss could be covered?

23

24

have a good answer for that question. There is a private
company out of Texas that offers crop insurance, and Virginia
was originally not on the list of states that would be covered
under that plan. But as result of VDACS, and I think our
congressional delegation worked or spoke with a company, we
haven't seen all the answers yet. USDA will have to make some

kind of determination on that, but I know that's one of the big

8 questions out there.

MR. SPIERS: We're talking about producers and my own insurance agent in a conversation I had, I know if a hail storm beats it into the ground, we're going to pay for it, whether for some reason all of a sudden you have a dry spell and your crop goes under, you'd have to read the fine print in your contract on the producer side.

DELEGATE KILGORE: We're going to have to move on because of the time. I think you've gotten some sense from us what some of the concerns are and I know the department will have to go through a lot of thought about this. We're not voting today on anything. But with the agribusiness, we need to run this through and let the Staff come up with a way forward that we can move on with the hemp situation and I think most people, but I think in Virginia it's a great opportunity and we certainly don't want to be moving all of this product to North Carolina because we don't have the facilities here, but we need to get the folks to work with our agribusiness to get that moving

1	along sooner rather than later, and look at all the opportunities.
2	MR. FEINMAN: What the Staff needs from you all, this
3	can be moved and needs to be moved fast and we've got a lot of
4	good information from a lot of folks. So, what we want, and I
5	think we need a more strategic approach and now I think we
6	should designate some reasons and allow the competition and
7	look at all the questions concerning essentially profitability. But
8	the fact is we want to be able to compete and make sure that the
9	profit capabilities to our folks and that is good and high
10	capitalized. So, yes, this could be a boom right now, but we
11	want to make sure that it's a good investment.
12	DELEGATE BYRON: Is the processing the real
13	problem?
14	MR. SCHMIDT: The processing is an integral part of
15	this.
16	DELEGATE KILGORE: They would take care of
17	handling all that. That's what you want so that the farmer can
18	move this product along, and, of course, following the
19	regulations, we have to be in accordance with the FDA.
20	SENATOR RUFF: I guess the question I would have,
21	processing for oil versus processing for fiber may be done in the
22	same processing mode.
23	MR. SCHMIDT: I do not know. I would think it might
24	be pretty different.
25	MP SDIEDS: The oil comes from the plant and one of

the issues is that or the proximity, but the oil growers cannot be, 1 or a seed grown, but the question of whether the seed can 2 pollinate if no male plant. To your point, I think we need to get 3 one season under our belt to see if this is a good way to go. But 4 there's a lot of concerns about this. We need some better 5 certification. And sampling, of course, is something, and we've 6 talked about tolerance, because in the field, even if you plant 7 them all at the same time or you want different maturity of the 8 plants, you can easily sample a plant that's very mature of 9 certain methodology, but when you talk about maturity, there 10 could be plants in the field that might be above the legal limit, 11 but if you average them out, could come below the limit. 12 DELEGATE KILGORE: All right, I know you all have a 13 14

meeting scheduled, but I think we need a little more time to help give us a better handle on this and maybe discuss this more at the next full meeting.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SPIERS: Yes, we have some samples of industrial hemp with a neighbor, but what I want to see is, and some of the things going down, but there's a tremendous supply of products, CBD, perhaps there a demand for it, I hope. I know people are trespassing and taking plants and people have already started taking product.

Another thing is that we're getting residential areas. It does have an odor for a couple of months until it reaches maturity, and some people find it offensive. I think it does have great potential, particularly in the Tobacco Footprint, but the
expertise is available and it would be a great crop, still there's a
lot of work to be done.

DELEGATE KILGORE: We've got to move on and

DELEGATE KILGORE: We've got to move on and we've got other issues to discuss. Thank you for coming down and making your presentation. And I'm sure you didn't expect all these questions, but we'll look forward to receiving more information. So, thank you. And this can offer our farmers a lot of opportunity.

MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you, I appreciate it.

MR. FEINMAN: Mr. Chairman, our next item of business is the MPower Project Number 3473, De-obligation. If you don't recall, I'll run through this. We were approached by a group of former intelligence community folks who wanted to build a major project and technology system here in the Footprint in Southwest, and that's something we all wanted. And this Committee actually authorized obligating \$2 million of Southwest funds or \$2.5 million of Project Incentive Funds, to put together a \$4 million package.

The challenge we had for this project is twofold.

Number one is it never seemed to get more specific than wanted to develop a technology system. And, number two, there are many promises and numbers were floating around two years later, nothing has happened, not a penny. The most confirmation they were ever able to get, a software license from

a corporation and sort of a match, but not really a match, but nothing out of the pocket for software companies.

Further, and the folks here were when Mr. Lee was sort of heading it and they were rotating people around and around and using names and that sort of thing. But nothing materialized. At the end of the day, this project did not come to fruition.

So, what the Staff is recommending is the deobligation, and the money will be returned to the respective, two of it will go to Southwest. I will further add that we are aware that a large number of people are deeply interested in new pots of money. Two million of this money came from Southwest, and it's recommended to go back to Southwest.

Now, there are opportunities when you look down the road to consider strategic investments for Southwest Virginia, and there are certainly I don't think going to be very many. The point is that we should be very careful and do not be hasty with these funds. So, I urge you not to take action on this immediately and there are some really good high impact use of these funds. So, it's our recommendation to de-obligate them because I don't believe there'll be many more bites at the apple of this scale in the next several years.

So, I believe we have a duty to make sure when we fund a high impact project, we have to make sure we're doing this very cautiously.

1	DELEGATE KILGORE: We know that there are projects
1	
2	out there and there's some contracts with Federal governments
3	and there's some drone projects.
4	MR. FEINMAN: Yes, we can do this project and others
5	like that and you can come to us and we'll always listen. But
6	part of the reason this thing never came together like everybody
7	else, there's always a match involved. We obligated the money,
8	but there had to be certain things done, but we never got any of
9	that from them. So, what we have to do is follow the process.
10	So, the Staff's recommendation is to de-obligate the
1	money and return them to the originator.
12	MR. OWENS: So, is a motion in order? And I so move
13	to de-obligate the funds.
14	DELEGATE KILGORE: Any more discussion? We have
15	a motion and a second. Any more discussion? Are you ready to
16	vote? All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No
17	response). That passes.
18	MR. FEINMAN: Next is our New Investment Strategy.
19	In our May meeting, we did not do our standard 4.4 percent
20	corpus invasion, and we availed ourselves to our full authority to
21	do a 15 percent corpus invasion, but only set aside 4.4 percent in
22	our strategic plan for our disbursement budget and set aside the
23	remainder of our corpus invasion for the purpose of increasing
24	our return.
) <i>5</i>	If you recall we had a two percent return in our

treasuries, while various friendly and I might say that we're looking into getting a greater return where we can do that. And some of this has not really materialized. We're working on multiple funding on who to invest our funds with. A friend that has come to us, our friends over at VCC, at Virginia Community Capital, they've done a real good job and we've talked with them and they operate a for-profit bank and they've offered us an opportunity to take roughly a third of our funds and invest them to buy stock or invest in their bank, and it'll do a couple of good things for us. Number one, they actually make loans to projects within our Footprint. And, of course, the more money you invest, the greater the return. About \$8 million lent in the Footprint, which is really more than a thousand jobs.

Additionally, return on investment, and this is not predictive of the future, but their stock has been growing at 13.25 percent. Even at an average of 3.5 million, or 7 million would grow to be worth 9.9 million over this time that we invest and over enough time our investment could become 24.3 million. And so, the worst case scenario, we would still have our money available. But we believe this is a good investment and it furthers our mission. If you have any questions about the way they do this, we have a representative here. If there's any questions about the way they do business. It's fairly likely that the other two-thirds of our investment could be added to the pot of charitable foundation and should fiber be somewhat below

market rate and if the economy would go down, they would go 1 up in activity in order to balance out with the other two-thirds of 2 our partners. 3 So, that's a quick rundown of where we are. So, 4 we're suggesting \$7 million to invest in the bank. 5 UNIDENTIFIED: Seven million of the 25? 6 MR. FEINMAN: Yes, sir. 7 UNIDENTIFIED: If we put that money in there and 8 something goes wrong, can you get it back out? 9 MR. FEINMAN: The stock will be encumbered, or we 10 can find a buyer to buy it back, but it's also worth noting that we 11 continue to have the remainder of these funds in an active 12 account. We are not at this point, we might not need to do a 13 corpus invasion. If we had a real need or a major automotive 14 deal came around, we'd still have the power to get those funds. 15 SENATOR RUFF: I would follow up that question on 16 an example here. What kind of, are they loaning this money for 17 housing? 18 MR. FEINMAN: I will ask him to respond. 19 MR. CORNETT: I'm Clyde Cornett, Chief Financial 20 Officer for the Virginia Community Capital. Your question was 21 about the range of funds in the community. We do a lot of 22 affordable housing and we do a lot of small business lending, 23 work with small companies, and we do a lot of tax credit work, 24 and we try to save with a range of many things. We do a pretty 25

1	broad range of things. We think of ourselves more as innovative
2	lenders with various projects. If it's something that will help the
3	community, we want to look at it, and that's sort of the high
4	priority.
5	SENATOR RUFF: Mr. Chairman, a follow-up question.
6	What kind of profits, is this strictly for housing, can you give us
7	the range? What's your percentage in small businesses?
8	MR. CORNETT: I think about 30 percent of what we
9	do.
10	SENATOR RUFF: You said there was a larger
11	operation? I guess it really depends, or we have several large
12	projects going on, and it's a large manufacturer in Danville. That
13	company was set to relocate their operation outside of the United
14	States, and after some financing that we were able to utilize,
15	they decided to stay. And we've also dealt with the hospital, but
16	we consider it a broad range.
17	SENATOR RUFF: Our first responsibility is to create
18	jobs. Housing is nice, but you'll always be secondary.
19	MR. CORNETT: That's a measure that we measure.
20	We think we've helped create 6,000 jobs through all of this.
21	DELEGATE WRIGHT: How does this manifest itself as
22	far as making loans, but making it appealing in the Tobacco
23	Footprint, particularly small businesses, how does that work?
24	MR. CORNETT: The way we're structured, we all have
25	different objectives. For us, depending on how the arrangement

1	structure, and in this case, what we're looking at is an
2	investment in common stock, which is the way we're organized,
3	we can go out and raise a pot of money anywhere in the United
4	States to supplement that investment. And we have to maintain
5	certain capital ratios. But having an investment in the form of
6	equity allows us to do all these things. And leverage projects.
7	DELEGATE WRIGHT: How is that a benefit to people
8	from the Tobacco Footprint or the Tobacco Commission funds or
9	stock
10	MR. CORNETT: We would focus those efforts more
11	closely in the Tobacco Region.
12	MR. FEINMAN: The Commission's rate of return would
13	be higher if we did this. In our normal rates that we get, there's
14	not any chance to get more.
15	DELEGATE WRIGHT: In the form of making the loan,
16	any way that we would know whether the business is in the
17	Footprint?
18	MR. FEINMAN: We already are aware of a couple of
19	potential borrowers, and they will pay additional attention to our
20	Footprint and we want them to focus for the benefit of investing
21	at the end of the day in the Footprint. This would allow the
22	Commission to really focus, and it's fair to say that you all would
23	be more attentive to our region, but still remaining focused on
24	the liability of these loans.
25	DELEGATE WRIGHT: I understand that, but I'm

1	talking about, you know, focusing on the Footprint, but anyway
2	with this money you could invest it and give us help with our
3	constituents and people of the Footprint.
4	MR. CORNETT: Yes, based on we would be willing to
5	entertain projects that some other banks wouldn't look at.
6	In addition to that, we often find ourselves with
7	funders or lenders who want us to do more of that kind of work.
8	So, we have some opportunities to provide opportunities to
9	potential lenders to get them ready for a typical financial
10	situation. I think that's one benefit to folks in the Tobacco
11	Region, and we can provide some extra attention to those
12	projects as they come up and some of that technical assistance
13	we can provide and help move the projects along.
14	DELEGATE BYRON: What would be the increase?
15	MR. FEINMAN: Yes, Delegate Byron. With our
16	treasuries, we've been getting between zero and 1.9 percent, but
17	when you compare 3.5 percent, and maybe that 7 million could
18	go to 9.9. But as normal bankers are, they speak very
19	conservatively. Last year, I believe 13.5 percent return on their
20	investment, six or seven times what we're getting currently.
21	DELEGATE BYRON: But we've always been getting a
22	return. This is still far out. And we do that, we would still have
23	an option to do these other things?
24	MR. FEINMAN: Yes, what we're doing here is moving
25	our entire funds to the higher earning area and we failed in our

1	attempt to get that authority. What we do have the authority to
2	do, to take up to 15 percent of our funds per year and disburse
3	those funds any way we like. What we're doing here rather than
4	just do our budget and the remainder of the 15 percent and take
5	that remainder and do it for the next couple of years. We can
6	transfer a large percent of our money into higher earning areas.
7	MS. RATLIFF: Mr. Chairman, I think I can help. I'm a
8	member of the Executive Committee, and one thing to point out,
9	but in Southwest and Southside, and they're working out there
10	with the IDA trying to develop helping small businesses.
11	THE COURT REPORTER: Could you please speak up.
12	MS. RATLIFF: And working such things as the Last
13	Mile. And as certain companies are working to help create jobs.
14	So, I know there's a lot going on. We are already partnering in
15	Southwest and Southside. And those are good things that are
16	going on.
17	MS. KIM: I just wanted to say something to Delegate
18	Byron about taking money from the endowment and the
19	principal, taking money from the endowment versus transferring
20	funds and the principal.
21	DELEGATE KILGORE: Any more questions?
22	MR. OWENS: When you transfer this money, we will
23	be able to keep up with it.
24	MR. FEINMAN: Well, this first, but we want to get to
25	the place where, but we want to get more of our funds into

1	nigher earning capabilities, and that makes a lot of sense. We
2	want to here re-invest the earnings, so this could be
3	compounded and grow year to year, and once we get a pot built
4	up, and then take some of that and try to invest it into what our
5	mandate is. We've got a ways to go and we've got some time,
6	but other than that, the money is just sitting in treasuries right
7	now.
8	So, what we want to do is grow our money as quick
9	and as much as possible and we can put the money of the
10	Commission anywhere we want, but, of course, we're always
11	worried about risks. But we've got to, but this was like a ten-
12	year investment, and we want to take this money and let it earn
13	So, in the end, we could use that to make investments in the
14	Footprint.
15	SENATOR CHAFIN: This is to use these funds so we
16	can be viable and just keep growing?
17	MR. FEINMAN: Yes, we've been working hard at this
18	in a lot of ways and make sure we're sustainable, just like what
19	we've done in Tazewell for you all. And if it, of course, if this is
20	not the will of the Commission, then so be it. But that's our goal
21	DELEGATE KILGORE: Is there a motion on this?
22	MR. FEINMAN: We've been moving forward on this.
23	DELEGATE KILGORE: I know this is something we've
24	talked about, ways to better improve our financial situation and
25	return on this money.

1	MR. FEINMAN: Every investment we have is a step
2	forward.
3	MR. OWENS: So, you really think this is the right step
4	and the way to go?
5	MR. FEINMAN: Yes. We were hoping for good results
6	and there's no reason why it can't be that way.
7	MR. OWENS: But this is a step that we haven't taken
8	before, just want to make sure that we can get this money and
9	see it returned and hopefully do what Deputy Director has said.
10	MS. MYERS: Just make sure everyone understands
11	this.
12	DELEGATE KILGORE: Do I have a motion?
13	MR. SORRELL: I move to authorize the Executive
14	Director, in consultation with the Finance Director and Counsel,
15	to disburse up to \$7 million to the Virginia Community Capital for
16	the purposes of increasing our investment income and supporting
17	their mission of making profitable investments and loans to
18	Virginia businesses. So moved. All, and second.
19	DELEGATE KILGORE: So moved and seconded.
20	Members ready to vote? Executive members ready to
21	vote. All those in favor, say aye. (Ayes). Opposed? (No
22	response).
23	MR. FEINMAN: We don't need a motion for this next
24	one, but I always try to bring this to you informally before
25	formally. I thought a great deal about this and I thought about

our regional offices, but out of our regional offices, but their knowledge and relationship and understanding of our regional economy is very strong.

Additionally, they are, while the overall grant mode has gone down and work required on a program basis has gone up. Due to new legislation really has increased the workload, but in reality, it takes an awful lot of time of making our areas happy, whether it's any state regulations, JLARC, Inspector General, and the performance aspect. So, we're spending a lot of time, both Sarahs and not leveraging their abilities, but to my mind, leveraging their ability and the value that they contribute to the region because they're right there doing the work.

What I'm hoping to do to put before you in a formal fashion in January and then ultimately approve in next year's budget is an expansion in each office, probably half an FTE in order to take on the regional leadership roles that they now have years of experience in. In addition, the bylaws have changed. In our bylaws, in an attempt to protect the Deputy Director and Finance Director and Program Director positions, and our bylaws just say if you have the word director in your title, the director can't fire you, but has to come to you all and ask for a permission. I think that's good, but what I'd like to do is change the bylaws, to say the Finance Director, the Deputy Director cannot be fired at the Executive Director's discretion. Stop that there and give Sara and Sarah the title they deserve, which is a

1	Regional Director. We don't want to do it under our current
2	bylaws. Both those changes direct our region, but contact the
3	Commission for grant funds and maybe free up a percentage of
4	their time to assume a regional leadership project.
5	I don't have anything official before you right now, but
6	I'm just going to put it in front of you and you can think about it,
7	you can reach out to me if you want to do it today, or take the
8	time for the next couple of months and think about it, then come
9	back to me.
10	DR. EHRHARDT: I have a question. Is the reason for
11	the FTE budgetary workload or other?
12	MR. FEINMAN: I think what we want to do in our
13	compensation, if it looks like a whole FTE would be better, it's
14	also true the two regions are different. There are some different
15	issues, and Southside is a lot more area and probably a little
16	more capacity in Southside than there is in Southwest. But
17	Sarah Capps is sitting on a significantly higher volume, but it's all
18	going to be up to you and it's a little different when you look
19	around 20 hours, 30 hours, 38 hours, it may not be set in stone.
20	SENATOR RUFF: Mr. Chairman, are you talking about
21	doing this in a meeting? We ask you to put all this in writing
22	before you propose this?
23	MR. FEINMAN: Yes. Going down the road slowly and
24	just putting this out for you all to think about. I can bring up a
25	written proposal. Then we can have a formal vote in January and

looking at the operating budget.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have reduced our administrative budget each year, so we're very efficient administratively, but it's time to take advantage of some of the staff expertise.

Now, the TROF update, we are fully developing small town programs and what we anticipate doing now is allowing various small towns, but what we want to do is put out an application to small towns and ultimately what we want to do here is bring a SWAT Team approach to these communities that are struggling in the Footprint, and many of them are, but we need to wrap up as many of our state partners as we can, VDOT, VEDC, and whoever else we can bring in for a small community development, and then have our leadership identify the gap and financing with other programs and then put forward proposals for us to try to fill that gap and try to energize some new life in these small towns who can run as a pilot in one in Southwest and one in Southside. As a result of that, we'll learn a lot and figure what works and what doesn't work. And then maybe that would be a model we can turn over to some other entity, maybe like Virginia HDC.

DELEGATE KILGORE: We'll have to make a determination, Evan, on what is a small town and what towns we'd be focusing on.

MR. FEINMAN: I'd like to put a whole set of written guidelines and an application before you and then we can look at

1	that and make a determination.
2	DELEGATE KILGORE: All right, that sounds good.
3	We've got to move on.
4	MR. FEINMAN: I have no other business for you.
5	DELEGATE KILGORE: All right. Any public comment?
6	Anyone in the public like to make a comment? If not, we're
7	adjourned.
8	
9	
10	PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	<b>CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT REPORTER</b>
3	
4	I, Medford W. Howard, Registered Professional
5	Reporter and Notary Public for the State of Virginia at Large, do
6	hereby certify that I was the Court Reporter who took down and
7	transcribed the proceedings of the <b>Tobacco Region</b>
8	Revitalization Commission, Executive Committee Meeting,
9	when held on Wednesday, October 9, 2019, at 1:00 o'clock p.m.
10	at the General Francis Marion Hotel, 107 East Main Street,
11	Marion, Virginia.
12	I further certify this is a true and accurate transcript,
13	to the best of my ability to hear and understand the proceedings
14	Given under my hand this 31st day of October, 2019.
15	
16	
17	
18	Medford W. Howard
19	CCR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	