The Virginia Corn Board, established within the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services pursuant to Va. Code § 3.2-1400 et seq., is holding an electronic meeting via WebEx at 9:00 AM on Monday, February 8, 2021. This meeting is being held during the Governor's declared state of emergency due to the COVID-19 pandemic making it unsafe for the public body to assemble in a single location.

Should any interruption of the broadcast of this meeting occur, please call 757.876.6354 or email phil.hickman@vdacs.virginia.gov to notify the agency. Any interruption in the broadcast of the meeting shall result in the suspension of action at the meeting until repairs are made and public access is restored.

The link to join online:

https://covaconf.webex.com/covaconf/j.php?MTID=m0288c013eb9e8a4b7399d4df57787c75

Meeting number (access code): 178 632 0910

Meeting password: VACorn2021

The dial in number for the call: +1-517-466-2023 US Toll +1-866-692-4530 US Toll Free Access Code: 178 632 0910

A recording of the meeting will be available on the Commonwealth Calendar

VIRGINIA CORN BOARD MEETING

THURSDAY FEBRUARY 8, 2021 9:00 AM AGENDA

PRESIDING: Sparky Crossman, Chairman

- I. Welcome
- II. Minutes February 6, 2020
- III. Financial Report
- IV. Old Business
- V. New Business
 - A. Project reports for fiscal year 2020-21 and project proposals for fiscal year 2021-22
 - B. Discussion and Action on FY 2021-22 Project Proposals
- VI. Other New Business
- VII. Public Comment
- VIII. <u>December Meeting Date</u>
- IX. Next Funding Meeting Date
- X. Adjourn



VIRGINIA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ADVISORY COUNCIL COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

ELECTRONIC MEETINGS PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

WE NEED YOUR HELP--Please give us your feedback regarding how meetings using electronic communications technology compare to traditional meetings where everyone is present in the same room at the same time.

1. Na	ame of	f the pu	ıblic bo	dy holo	ding the meeti	ng:
2. Da	ate of	the me	eting:			
3. W	hat ar	e your	overall	thougl	hts or commen	its about this meeting?
4. W	here d	lid you	attend	this m	eeting main	meeting location OR from a remote location? (circle one)
						ly or audio/visual, devices and/or software usedplease erphone, iPad, Skype, WebEx, Telepresence, etc.):
6. W		u able	to hear	everyo	one who spoke	at the meeting (members of the body and members of the
T		r			Excellent 5	
	1	2	3	4	5	
	COI	MMEN	NT			
7. Ho	ow eas	sy was	it for y	ou to o	btain agenda r	materials for this meeting?
	Lasy	y 2	3	4	Difficult 5	
	•		r/unde blems i		-	xers said or did static, interruption, or any other
	Easy	y			Difficult	
	1	2	3	4	5	
		MMEN				
9. If			used au	idio/vi		y, were you able to see all of the people who spoke?
	Poo	•	2	4	Clearly	
	1	2	3	4	5	
	COI	MMEN	VT			

COMMENT 1. Were the members as attentive and did they participate as much as you would have expected Less More 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 2. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT				3	4	_	
1. Were the members as attentive and did they participate as much as you would have expected Less More 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 1. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 1. A 5 COMMENT 1. A 1		COMI			_	5	
Less More 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 2. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5	1. W		MEN'	Γ			
Less More 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 2. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		ere the	e mem	ıbers a	s atten	tive an	d did they participate as much as you would have expected
COMMENT 2. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		_					
2. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		1	2	3	4	5	
With the other members present: Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		COMI	MEN'	Γ			
Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 13. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 1 2 3 4 5							
With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 13. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 14. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5					embers	presen	
With members participating from other locations: Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 13. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 14. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		Very I					
Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5			_	_	-		-
1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5					rticipat	ting fro	
With the public: Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5							
Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5			_	_	3	4	5
1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5			_				
3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		Very I	Differe	ent			
3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5							-
Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		COMI	MEN'	Γ			
COMMENT 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 14. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		•		e tech	nology	was a l	•
COMMENT		Hinde	red				<u>*</u>
Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5			1	2	3	4	5
Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		COM	MEN	Γ			
1 2 3 4 5	4. Ho	w wot	ıld yo	u rate	the ove		
		Poor					ellent
COMMENT		1	2	3	4	5	
		COM	MEN'	Γ			

Council using the following contact information:

Virginia Freedom of Information Advisory Council
General Assembly Building, Second Floor
201 North 9th Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219
foiacouncil@dls.virginia.gov/Fax: 804-371-8705/Tele: 866-448-4100